Newcastle Orienteering Club
2012/2013 Summer Street Series
CONSISTENCY Results

Are you consistently performing to your own capability?   Earn up to 20 points per event.   See below ...

Rank	Competitor	Admin Event	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Number of Events Entered	Number of Contributing Events	Total Points	Rank
1	Nicole Haigh		6	7	7	5	15		12	16	2	9	13	12	4					17	12	108	1
2	Paul Griffiths		13	3	12				10	19		13	12				17		15	8	99	2
3	Carolyn Matthews		11			2		5		5	16		11	19		3	20		17	9	92	3
4	Russell Rigby		14		20		13			15	10	15							17	6	87	4
5	Andrew Haigh							10	9	12	16	6	5	12		16			17	8	86	5
6	Stuart Kurtz	8				16	5	20	9	A			5		2	6		20	16	8	83	6
6	Sam Howe	6		4				A	19	18		5	20		17				15	6	83	6
8	Carolyn Rigby		5		1		12	12		20	17		15						17	7	82	8
8	David Messenger				18	9		8		14	18			11			4		17	7	82	8
10	Lee Cooper					14	1	20					14		17	13			16	6	79	10
11	Gayle Quantock	1	A						20	13		6		20		19			15	5	78	11
12	Emily Wheatley & the Mini-Wheats					9			16	8				9	13	2		19	16	7	76	12
13	Shane Jenkins	5	20				A	19		5			19	1	10				16	6	74	13
13	Viola O'Connor	12	20	15	16		8			4			A				11		16	6	74	13
15	Brock Smith			17			16	2				7	13		16				17	6	71	15
16	Sally-Anne Henderson	11	18		15	10	6				A						17		12	5	66	16
17	Daniel Orr	9	7	9		3	11		18	15	A		2						15	7	65	17
18	Shane Trotter	3			A			11	2	13		20	3		4	10			15	7	63	18
19	Kate Dynon			10	13					7				19	12				16	5	61	19
20	Caroline Taurany			11	2	20			13	9			4						16	6	59	20
21	Peter Orr	10				7		10	19	11	A				11				16	5	58	21
21	Tim Adams						7	18	3							19	11		14	5	58	21
23	Maria Orr	10		4			18			17	A					18			12	4	57	23
24	Robert Vincent					19			16		15				5				13	4	55	24
25	Liam Gibson		12		8			1	18	3	12								14	6	54	25
25	Greig Scott		18			17	3					10			6				15	5	54	25
27	Luke Robertson		18							4			14		14				14	4	50	27
28	Bob Gilbert			2		4	12	17		9	2	1	1						15	8	48	28
28	Matthew Killen									14	19	15							14	3	48	28
30	Bert van Netten							14			15	5	13						13	4	47	30
30	Sharon Burgess		7								18	14	8						11	4	47	30
32	Ivan Dovana					19							20	7					11	3	46	32
33	Scott Simson	1	A		1	4			19			8				11			14	5	43	33
33	Neil Currey									17	17				9				13	3	43	33
35	Linda Vincent						16	8	6	4					8				9	5	42	35
36	Thomas Bunn		2		9	18		2						10					17	5	41	36
36	Asya Khristosova				15	12			7				7						12	4	41	36
38	Karen Blatchford	16	11				7							20	A			10	3	38	38
39	Nigel Thompson		14		7				8					8				17	4	37	39
40	Ben Radstaak			5	11	10					10							9	4	36	40
40	Greg Bacon	4			A	17					8	2			9			14	4	36	40
40	Sonia Brown		18										18					10	2	36	40
43	Michelle Ho				17	15												5	2	32	43
43	Nicola Blatchford		18												14	10	2	32	43
45	Andrew Morris	2		A							3	5	18		5		14	4	31	45
45	Arthur Kingsland	15	8					20					3	A	16	3	31	45
47	Malcolm Roberts		5			7	2		3	13					16	5	30	47
48	Glenn Burgess			12			13					2		14	3	27	48
48	Pat & Steph Cantwell			10			6	11			6	3	27	48
48	Graeme Taplin			20						7	9	2	27	48
51	Kathryn Vaughan		18		8			5	2	26	51
52	Steven Roberts		8	14	3			11	3	25	52
53	Neil Chappell			1		9	14	7	3	24	53
53	Robert Preston			8	16	4	2	24	53
55	Geoff Peel		20		2		1	15	3	23	55
55	Paul Killen		7		1	15	12	3	23	55
55	Scott Taylor		6	16		1	10	3	23	55
58	Tony Dynon		11	11	12	2	22	58
58	Tony Hayes		2	20	8	2	22	58
60	Ingrid Oblasser		16	5	14	2	21	60
60	Jim Lee		5	16	13	2	21	60
60	Nathan Archer		2	19	12	2	21	60
63	Colin Bailey		15	5	11	2	20	63
63	Kaitlin Radstaak		19	1	14	2	20	63
63	Lewis Vincent		9	11	8	2	20	63
66	Damian Welbourne		7	7	5	9	3	19	66
66	Barbara Dynon		10	9	12	2	19	66
66	Louise Hayes		19	5	1	19	66
69	Clair Twaddle		6	12	8	2	18	69
69	Andrew Martin		18	3	1	18	69
69	Diana Scott		18	8	1	18	69
72	John Linich		17	12	1	17	72
73	Liz Bunn		4	12	14	2	16	73
73	Marg & Rob Cook		14	2	9	2	16	73
73	Peter Russell		7	9	5	2	16	73
73	Jeff Pretto		16	10	1	16	73
73	Sandy Cantwell		16	6	1	16	73
78	Andrew McLeod		15	3	1	15	78
78	Jack Hart		15	4	1	15	78
78	Justin Boyd		15	9	1	15	78
78	Justin Stafford		15	11	1	15	78
82	Angus Roberts		14	10	1	14	82
82	Baxter & Margaret Peel		14	11	1	14	82
82	David Kitchener		14	2	1	14	82
82	Ian O'Brien		14	10	1	14	82
82	Peter Newton	17		14	A	9	1	14	82
87	Dominic,Nicholas,Sofia,Pili,Peter Gordon		13	3	1	13	87
88	Kerry Bacon		2		7	3	12	3	12	88
89	Ian Dempsey		11	7	1	11	89
90	Deanne & John Shaw		5	5	5	2	10	90
90	Richard Holmes		10	3	1	10	90
92	Peter Charlton		6	3	5	2	9	92
92	Tamara Orr		9	6	1	9	92
94	Candice Roberts		8	10	1	8	94
94	Louise Cherry		8	9	1	8	94
94	Paul Campbell		8	4	1	8	94
97	Graham Fowler		7	8	1	7	97
97	Jenny Thompson		7	4	1	7	97
99	Andrew Killen		2		4	13	2	6	99
99	Deanne Shaw		6	4	1	6	99
101	Steven Todkill		1	2	7	2	3	101
101	Andrew & Martyn Cox		3	6	1	3	101
101	Andrew Thompson		3	12	1	3	101
104	Kelly Kurtz	8	2		A	8	1	2	104
105	Daniel Wells, Jesse Parkinson		1	2	1	1	105

Click here to provide feedback or make enquiries regarding these results.

Colour Coding Explanation

20	The competitor attained maximum possible consistency points for the event.
	The competitor entered the event but did not score any consistency points for the event.
17	The competitor entered the event and attained the consistency points displayed.
	The competitor did not enter the event.
A	The competitor was involved with administering the event, and did not enter that event.

This competition is about trying to seek out our most CONSISTENT competitor, and provides an alternative way of measuring every competitor's performance from event to event and across the whole season.

Measuring consistency in this context has at least the following aims:
 - recognition for competitors that don't win events (i.e. more than 95% of us);
 - recognition for regular attendees;
 - recognition for consistent performance, irrespective of capability.

Here's a brief summary of the current rules:
1. "Consistency points" will be awarded to the most consistent competitors in each event (the most consistent performer will receive maximum points).
2. For each competitor, "consistency points" earned in each event will be aggregated over the season.
3. Competitors will then be ranked, with the highest season "consistency points" tally determining the most consistent orienteer for the series.
4. Allocation of "consistency points" in an event will be based on each eligible competitor's calculated "consistency score".
5. To be eligible for a "consistency score" in an event, a competitor must not have a result of 100 in the event, and must have also entered the previous event.
6. A competitor's "consistency score" for an event is the difference between their (adjusted) overall result for that event, and their (adjusted) overall result for the previous event.
7. Results are adjusted ("normalised") in an attempt to level the playing field and increase the validity of comparisons of results between events. There are two main reasons for this, and a separate adjustment is made for each:
    a) Since your overall result for an event is derived via a comparison with the winner, an inconsistency is evident when trying to measure consistency, as the same competitor doesn't win every event.
    b) Course setter style and characteristics of each map are inconsistent across events. This in part contributes to the differing patterns in the distribution of results from event to event.
8. In this competition, a competitor is an event entrant, which may be an individual or a team.

Note that your handicap is not used in calculating your "consistency score", and your "consistency score" doesn't affect your handicap.

As always, if you have any thoughts on this interpretation of our results, please feel free to email your feedback, or come and discuss it at an event.