Newcastle Orienteering Club 2013/2014 Summer Street Series CONSISTENCY Results

Are you consistently performing to your own capability? Earn up to 20 points per event. See below																							
		Admin																		Number of Events	Number of Contributing	Total	
	Competitor	Event	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Entered	Events	Points	Rank
1 2	Carolyn Matthews Arthur Kingsland		13	17 16		8	20	18	10	15	19 19	9 18	16	11				6 4	10	15 17	10 8	137 112	2
3	Thomas Whitehead				20	5	10		15	2	11	11	13						16	15	9	103	3
3	David Messenger		20	4	16				16		15	40	15	45		40		17	7	17	7	103	3
<u>5</u>	Andrew Haigh Russell Rigby		17	19	8	19		20		1	3 8	12 6	3 12	15 8		16 15		8	7	17 17	9	100 97	5 6
7	Andrew Morris	1	Α	11			20			16	20					13	11			14	6	91	7
8	Geoff Peel	11	3	2	13		10		4	20			A		4	12	20			16	9	88	8
9	Robert Vincent Lewis Vincent		4		18	12		15	12				14 10	9	7	20	14	8 14	7	15 14	7	85 79	9
11	Carolyn Rigby					'-	18	8	1	4	5		10	10		10	19			17	8	75	11
11	John Linich		12								15	14					19	15		12	5	75	11
13 14	Stuart Kurtz Nicole Haigh		10	20				9 5	7	12	6			16				18	18 12	15 15	6	72 69	13 14
15	Gayle Quantock	9	-	20	1		10	2	14	11	A	19					7	10	2	16	8	66	15
15	Sam Howe	8	16							Α	9		20				1		20	14	5	66	15
17 18	Peter Newton Kaitlin Radstaak	17	-			16	10	12	13	8	17	15 10			13			2	A	16 16	5 6	65 62	17 18
19	Karen Blatchford	12			11	2	10		19			10		Α	3			3	10	13	7	58	19
19	Neil Curryer					7					15	8	9			9	10			15	6	58	19
21 21	Brock Smith Greig Scott		18	14	12				10	13	11				9		3	11	13	17 14	5 5	57 57	21
23	Justin Stafford		11	9	12				10					18	18		3			12	4	56	23
23	Nigel Thompson				10	18							11	17						12	4	56	23
25 26	Viola O'Connor Andrew Killen	6 10				3 11	10 13	A 7	8			16 A	6	20	2		12			16 14	6 5	55 53	25 26
26	Emily Wheatley & the Mini-	10			7	11	13		11			<u> </u>	1	20			17						
	Wheats				7			17 16	11				7			3	17		0	15 14	5	53 52	26
28 28	Caroline Taurany Paul Griffiths	4		10		Α	14	10	10			20	 		8	J			8	10	5 4	52 52	28
30	Damian Welbourne			9	6	13				6					17					14	5	51	30
31	Deanne Shaw Shane Trotter		0	10			18	4					20	6		5			15 7	13	5	48	31
32	Thomas Bunn		8	12				3					20	19		20			7	13 14	4	47 44	32
34	Malcolm Roberts						13		5			5						16	4	15	5	43	34
35 36	Angus Roberts Matthew Killen	10		2		6	10	19		17		Α		4		11		13		14 14	3 5	42 40	35 36
36	John Shaw	10					10					A		4	20	6		13	14	13	3	40	36
36	Nicola Blatchford				14										14			12		12	3	40	36
39	Craig Browett	0	11		15	47		14	7	3								1		11	4	39	39
40	Scott Simson Ben Radstaak	9	14		19	17			3		Α		18			2		1		15 9	5 2	37 37	40
42	Steven Roberts												4	5	5			20		15	4	34	42
42	Marg & Rob Cook	13			9						0	40			Α	40	16	9		8	3	34	42
42	Peter Orr Philip Eeles	2		Α						10	3	13			19	18 5				12 8	3	34 34	42 42
46	Felicity Whitehead									14	16	2			.,					6	3	32	46
46	Glenn Burgess			9				4.4	40						10		13			13	3	32	46
48 49	Diana Scott Paul Killen	10				20		11	18			Α				9				9 13	3 2	30 29	48 49
50	Nick Maddock						10									17			1	13	3	28	50
51	lan O'Brien		6	18	3									_	15					8	3	27	51
51 51	Sharon Burgess Duncan Rayward						10							7	15 17			5		12 7	3 2	27 27	51 51
51	Kate Dynon						13							14						10	2	27	51
55	Sally-Anne Henderson	7						0	Α						40		8		17	10	2	25	55
56 57	Shane Jenkins Graeme Taplin				3			6 10							12	9	3			17 12	3	24 23	56 57
58	Bob Gilbert					5						17								11	2	22	58
59 	Kate Morris Linda Vincent	1	Α	2					H	19	1		17							12	2	20 20	59
59 59	Tom Scemland			3					20				17							9	1	20	59 59
62	Jim Lee									9				3			7			12	3	19	62
62 62	Callum Roberts Graham Fowler									10								19		8	1	19 19	62 62
62	Margaret Peel	11								19			Α						19	8 9	1	19	62
66	Richard Baker		5	13																5	2	18	66
66	Emika Wright						18 18													3	1	18 18	66 66
66 69	Ian Dempsey Brock Taylor				1	16	ıβ													8 9	2	18 17	66
69	Rhys Taylor				17															3	1	17	69
71 72	Bert van Netten Daniel Orr	14	9	0			Δ.								7	Α				9	2	16 15	71 72
72	Andrew Gilbett	5		9	5		Α										15			8	1	15 15	72
72	Carl & Kendall O'Connor			15													_			8	1	15	72
72 76	Nathan Archer		15			4.4		1						0						5	1	15	72
76 76	Liz Bunn Hayden & Michael Collins					11		1						2		14				11 5	3 1	14 14	76 76
76	Kim Taylor					14														7	1	14	76
79	Baxter & Margaret Peel							13						40						7	1	13	79
79 81	Scott Taylor Kim van Netten											1		13	11					10 14	2	13 12	79 81
81	Jason Beck										12									7	1	12	81
81	Maria Orr	2		Α										12			1.0			5	1	12	81
84 84	Florence Mananyu Tamara Orr																10	10		8 9	1	10 10	84
86	Bridget Whitehead										5	4								13	2	9	86
86	Miguel Flores														2		7			12	2	9	86
86 89	Steven Todkill Renée & Trevor Wells				4	9													3	10 8	3	9 8	86 89
89	Jeff Pretto												8							4	1	8	89
89	Justin Boyd	16									8							Α		10	1	8	89
92 92	Liam Gibson Sheena Robertson									7		7								5 11	1	7	92
94	Ben Jones			5																4	1	5	94
94	Lauren Wright									5										3	1	5	94
94	Su Pretto David Arthur											4	5							3	1	5 4	94
98	Alex Massey		2									4								16	1	2	98
98	Keith Robertson													2						11	1	2	98
98 101	Roberto Moreno Alex Taylor						1		2											3 6	1	1	98
101	Ivan Dovana						'											1		9	1	1	101
101	Tim Adams		1																	1	1	1	101
			CII	مطياه	Wa Le		vi al a	6000	امما	0 11 10	00/0	ena				41-			4.0				

Colo	Colour Coding Explanation							
20	The competitor attained maximum possible consistency points for the event.							
	The competitor entered the event but did not score any consistency points for the event.							
17	The competitor entered the event and attained the consistency points displayed.							
	The competitor did not enter the event.							
Δ	The competitor was involved with administering the event, and did not enter that event							

Click here to provide feedback or make enquiries regarding these results.

This competition is about trying to seek out our most CONSISTENT competitor, and provides an alternative way of measuring every competitor's performance from event to event and across the whole season.

Measuring consistency in this context has at least the following aims:

- recognition for competitors that don't win events (i.e. more than 95% of us);
- recognition for regular attendees;
- recognition for consistent performance, irrespective of capability.

Here's a brief summary of the current rules:

- 1. "Consistency points" will be awarded to the most consistent competitors in each event (the most consistent performer will receive maximum points).
- 2. For each competitor, "consistency points" earned in each event will be aggregated over the season.3. Competitors will then be ranked, with the highest season "consistency points" tally determining the most consistent orienteer for the series.
- 4. Allocation of "consistency points" in an event will be based on each eligible competitor's calculated "consistency score".
- 5. To be eligible for a "consistency score" in an event, a competitor must not have a result of 100 in the event, and must have also entered the previous event.6. A competitor's "consistency score" for an event is the difference between their (adjusted) overall result for that event, and their (adjusted) overall result for the previous event.
- 7. Results are adjusted ("normalised") in an attempt to level the playing field and increase the validity of comparisons of results between events. There are two main reasons for this, and a separate adjustment is made for each:
- a) Since your overall result for an event is derived via a comparison with the winner, an inconsistency is evident when trying to measure consistency, as the same competitor doesn't win every event.
- b) Course setter style and characteristics of each map are inconsistent across events. This in part contributes to the differing patterns in the distribution of results from event to event.
- 8. In this competition, a competitor is an event entrant, which may be an individual or a team.

Note that your handicap is not used in calculating your "consistency score", and your "consistency score" doesn't affect your handicap.

As always, if you have any thoughts on this interpretation of our results, please feel free to email your feedback, or come and discuss it at an event.